

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/671,559	09/29/2003	Jose Luis Martinez Carballido	237814US30 4285		
22850	7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER		
	PIVAK, MCCLELLA	NGO, LIEN M			
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	,	3727	·	
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/10/200	e	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Sp				
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	/'				
		10/671,559		CARBALLIDO, JC MARTINEZ	SE LUIS				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		LIEN TM N		3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED THE MAILING D  Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH  If the period for reply  If NO period for reply  Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  S from the mailing date of this communication.  specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliance is specified above, the maximum statutory period the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event ly within the statuto will apply and will e e, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on 31 N	<i>March 2005</i> .							
2a)☐ This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is noi	n-final.						
•	application is in condition for allowa				e merits is				
closed in a	ccordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Clair	ns								
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1. 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	above claim(s) 17-30 is/are withdraw is/are allowed. Is/are allowed. Is/are rejected. Is/are objected to. Is/are subject to restriction and/o	wn from cons							
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by the Examino	er.							
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U	•		··· 05 II 0 0 . \$ 440/a	) (d) o= (6)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ 1. ☐ Cert 2. ☐ Cert 3. ☐ Cop appl	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of:  ified copies of the priority document ified copies of the priority documenties of the certified copies of the priority document ication from the International Bureatched detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been ority documer au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Reference			4) Interview Summary						
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 late <u>9/29/03</u>	رد	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/671,559 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-16, in the reply filed on 3/31/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction requirement should be withdrawn because it fails to set forth a process that is materially different and capable of making the product of group I. This is not found persuasive, because the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example the metallic closure shell can be made by molding process with metal resin or metal mold.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Waters (3,233,770). Waters discloses, in fig. 1, a metallic closure comprising a metal closure shell 2, a foamed material layer 10 (polyvinyl chloride) deposited over an inside surface of the closure top portion and a varnish coating 6. The foamed layer is deposited over the inside surface of the top portion and a portion of an inside surface of the closure sidewall.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,559

Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Singer (3,557,987). Singer discloses, in fig. 1, a metallic closure comprising a metal closure shell 2, a foamed material layer 6, 8 (polyvinyl chloride) deposited over an inside surface of the closure top portion and a varnish coating 4. The foamed layer is deposited over the inside surface of the top portion and an inside surface of the closure sidewall.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waters or Singer in view of Ichinose et al. (4,111,323). Waters or Singer further discloses the foamed material layer comprising a plasticizer. However, Waters or Singer does not disclose the foamed material layer comprising a blowing agent.

Ichinose et al. teach, in col. 3, lines 12-32 a metal closure comprising a foamed material layer having a blowing agent.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a blowing agent in the foamed material layer of the Waters or Singer invention, as taught by Ichinose et al., for enhancing a cross-linking in the foamed material layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,559

Art Unit: 3727

7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waters or Singers. It would have been an obvious of design choice to make the thickness of the foamed material layer in the inventions of Waters or Singer as claimed, since such a modification would have involves a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Page 4

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

June 8, 2005

Julum